AGN feedback in clusters of galaxies

Elizabeth L. Blanton *, T. E. Clarke †, Craig L. Sarazin ‡, Scott W. Randall §, and Brian R. McNamara § ¶

*Institute for Astrophysical Research and Astronomy Department, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA, †Naval Research Laboratory, 455 Overlook Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20375, USA; Interferometrics, Inc., 13454 Sunrise Valley Drive No. 240, Herndon, VA 20171, USA, ‡Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, P. O. Box 400325, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4325, USA, §Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA, and ¶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 2G1, Canada; Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street, N. Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Observations made during the last ten years with the Chandra X-ray Observatory have shed much light on the cooling gas in the centers of clusters of galaxies and the role of active galactic nucleus (AGN) heating. Cooling of the hot intracluster medium in cluster centers can feed the supermassive black holes found in the nuclei of the dominant cluster galaxies leading to AGN outbursts which can reheat the gas, suppressing cooling and large amounts of star formation. AGN heating can come in the form of shocks, buoyantly rising bubbles that have been inflated by radio lobes, and the dissipation of sound waves.

clusters of galaxies | active galactic nuclei | feedback

Introduction

handra observations of cavities in cluster centers in the last decade have brought the issue of feedback to the center stage in astrophysics. Feedback from AGN driven by supermassive black holes in the cores of massive galaxies is now seen as a necessary ingredient to adequately describe the formation and evolution of galaxies and the clusters in which they sometimes reside. The energy injected by AGN is required to produce a galaxy luminosity function in simulations (e.g. [1]) that matches observations. This feedback may set the upper limit to the observed masses of galaxies and contributes to cluster preheating and the observed "entropy floors" seen in cluster centers. It may affect scaling relations, such as the relationship between X-ray luminosity and temperature and potentially affects cluster properties that are used for constraining cosmological models, such as the gas mass fraction (e.g. [2]). Data from the Chandra X-ray Observatory over the last ten years reveal AGN at work in the centers of clusters, inflating bubbles that rise buoyantly through the intracluster medium (ICM), sometimes producing shocks and sound waves.

Many of the most spectacular Chandra images of AGN feedback are of interactions in the centers of cooling flow (sometimes referred to as "cool core") clusters of galaxies. Under the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium, the X-rayemitting gas in a cluster is the most dense at the cluster center. The gas will cool as it radiates, and the cooling time is inversely proportional to the density of the gas. Therefore, gas will cool at cluster centers before it cools at larger radii. If the cooling time is shorter than the cluster age, the central gas will cool, lose pressure, and outer gas must flow in to maintain hydrostatic equilibrium (see [3] for a review). Data from earlier X-ray observatories such as Einstein [4], ROSAT [5], and ASCA [6] were used to give estimates of the gas inflow rates of typically hundreds or thousands of solar masses per year. The classic "cooling flow problem" has been that sufficient quantities of cool gas and/or star formation were not detected to match the predictions from the X-ray data.

Chandra and XMM-Newton observations have shown that while the gas temperature drops in the centers of cooling flow clusters, the temperature reaches a threshold value below which there is little cooling. This is seen in the temperature

profiles as well as high resolution spectroscopy. An important early result from XMM-Newton high resolution spectra from cooling flows was that the emission lines from cool gas were not present at the expected levels, and the spectra were well-fitted by a cooling flow model with a low temperature cutoff [7, 8]. This cutoff is typically one-half to one-third of the average cluster temperature, and is usually not much below 1 keV. The gas is either then cooling non-radiatively or being heated to higher temperatures. Throughout this paper, we use the term "cooling flow" to indicate clusters with central drops in temperature and large central density peaks above that of a typical β -model.

There is a clear association with the presence of a cooling flow in a cluster and the central galaxy hosting a radio source. Using Einstein data, [9] found $\approx 70\%$ of cooling flow clusters had giant elliptical galaxies that emitted in the radio, as compared to only $\approx 20\%$ of central galaxies in non-cooling flows. Recent studies, depending on the sample, find a fraction of up to 100% for cooling flows and 45% for non-cooling flows [10] using Chandra data. This association is consistent with the cooling gas supplying fuel to the central black hole, leading to the AGN outburst. As seen in *Chandra* images, the radio jets and lobes associated with the AGN significantly affect the X-ray gas, inflating "cavities" or "bubbles" in the cluster centers. Clear correspondence between regions of radio emission and deficits in the X-ray are seen in a number of cases (e.g. Hydra A [11, 12], Perseus [13], Abell 2052, [14], Abell 2597 [15, 16], Abell 2199 [17], Centaurus [18], Abell 4059 [19, 20], Abell 262 [21, 22], MS0735.6+7321 [23], M87/Virgo [24]). The X-ray gas, in turn, affects the radio lobes by confining and distorting them. Energy can be transported to the ICM through bubbles that rise buoyantly to larger cluster radii, as well as through weak shocks and sound waves generated by AGN outbursts. The energy transferred to the cluster gas can diminish the cooling, giving an explanation for the lack of copious quantities of cool gas and star formation seen in the centers of cooling flow clusters. Composite images of A2052 and A262 are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively, where blue is the X-ray emission as seen with Chandra, red is optical emission, and yellow/green (in the cluster centers) is 1.4 GHz radio emission.

Reserved for Publication Footnotes

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comment arters Services, Directorate for Inf	ts regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 2010	2 DEDORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
AGN feedback in clusters of galaxies				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Research Laboratory,4555 Overlook Avenue SW,Washington,DC,20375				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES					
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	11	REST ONSIBLE I ERSON	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Cavity properties

The sizes of the cavities or bubbles created by the radio lobes evacuating regions of the ICM vary widely from a few kpc (e.g. Abell 262 [21, 22]) to hundreds of kpc (e.g. MS0735.6+7321 [23]) in diameter. The cavities are often surrounded by bright rims or shells, where the gas from the cavity interiors has been compressed. In addition to the visual impression that the radio lobes are removing the X-ray gas from the cavities, we can quantitatively confirm this by measuring the mass of gas in the shells and comparing it to the mass of gas that should fill the central region of the cluster estimated by extrapolating the density profile of the gas at outer radii inward. In Abell 2052 [14, 25], for instance, the mass in the shells compressed by the two radio lobes is approximately $5 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, consistent with the mass predicted to have filled the cavities, based on the extrapolation of the density profile.

The X-ray gas in the compressed shells surrounding the radio lobes is generally found to be cool, and does not show evidence of strong shock heating as had been predicted with early models of radio source / ICM interaction (e.g. [26]). Cool gas is seen surrounding the inner radio lobes of, for example, Hydra A [27], Perseus A [28], 3C 317 in Abell 2052 [14, 25], and B2 0149+35 in Abell 262 [21]. The cooling time within the shells is typically longer than the radio source age, suggesting that the gas in the shells had to cool closer in to the cluster center before being pushed out by the radio lobes. In the case of Abell 2052, the shell cooling time is approximately 3×10^8 yr while the age of the radio source is approximately $10^7~\rm{yr}.$ The bright X-ray shells are sometimes coincident with optical line emission, such as $H\alpha$, representing gas that has cooled to temperatures of approximately 10⁴ K [14, 21, 29]. Therefore, while most of the cluster gas cools to only onethird to one-half of the average ICM temperature, at least some quantity of gas can cool to much lower temperatures and sometimes results in star formation [30].

Assuming some geometry for the shells surrounding the radio lobes and fitting the spectra from these regions, the densities and pressures can be determined. Alternatively, the pressure in the X-ray gas is determined from undisturbed regions in the cluster at the same radii as the cavities, yielding similar results [31]. The pressures found are often higher (by approximately an order of magnitude) than the pressures derived from radio observations, assuming equipartition, for the cavities. The cavities would be expected to collapse quickly if they were indeed underpressured, and it is therefore assumed that some additional source of pressure must be filling the cavities. The origin of this additional pressure is still unknown, but candidates are low energy, relativistic electrons, a higher ratio of ions to electrons than is typically assumed, a magnetic field with a value higher than the equipartition value, or very hot, diffuse, thermal gas. Hot, diffuse, thermal gas has not been detected filling the radio cavities, but limits have been placed in a few cases (> 15 keV in Hydra A [27], > 11 keV in Perseus [28], and > 20 keV in Abell 2052 [25]).

The cavities are eventually expected to break up due to Rayleigh-Taylor and Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities. Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities are a possible explanation for the spur of gas jutting into the N cavity in Abell 2052 [14, 25, 32]. The cavities can be maintained against these instabilities by magnetic draping [33] or pressure related to bubble inflation [34].

Buoyant bubbles

Since the density inside the radio cavities is much lower than that of the ambient gas, the cavities should be buoyant, and will rise out to larger cluster radii. Such outer cavities are called "ghost cavities" or "ghost bubbles" because they are thought to be the result of earlier AGN outbursts. In addition, if these cavities are filled with radio lobes, the lobes often have steep spectra, and radio emission at the commonly-observed radio frequency of 1.4 GHz is sometimes faint or absent. Radio observations at lower frequencies have shown that the radio sources extend into these outer cavities in many cases.

Examples of systems with outer cavities are Perseus [13], Abell 2597 [15, 16], Abell 262 [22], Abell 2052 [32], and Hydra A [12]. In all of these cases, when the cavities seemed devoid of radio emission at higher frequencies, lower frequency radio emission was found to extend farther from the cluster center to fill the outer cavities.

Entrainment of cool gas. Cool gas can be entrained along with radio lobes as they propagate into the ICM. There is a clear association with arcs of cool gas and the radio jets and lobes in the M87/Virgo system [24, 35, 36]. This is also seen in the center of Abell 133, where a cool X-ray plume appears related to a detached radio lobe or relic [37]. In the Perseus cluster [29], plumes of cool, $\approx 10^4$ K gas seen in $H\alpha$ appear to have risen away from the cluster center, having been dragged by one of the outer cavities. The metallicity structure is also consistent with the entrainment scenario [38]. Analysis of deep Chandra observations of the Hydra A cluster reveals higher metallicity gas along the radio jets and lobes, indicating that the gas is being redistributed from the center (where the gas metallicity is typically higher) to larger radii in the cluster [38].

X-ray cavities as radio calorimeters. Rather than relying on radio observations to estimate the energy input from the radio sources where the ratio of radiative power to kinetic power can vary widely, we can instead use observations of the effects that the radio sources have on the X-ray-emitting ICM to determine how much energy is being deposited into the cluster gas. As in [11] and [39], the energy input is a combination of the internal energy in the cavity and the work done in inflating the cavity, or the enthalpy:

$$\frac{1}{(\gamma - 1)}PV + PdV = \frac{\gamma}{(\gamma - 1)}PV$$
 [1]

where P is the pressure measured in the X-ray shells (which are assumed to be in pressure equilibrium with the cavities), and V is the volume of the cavities. The value of γ is the mean adiabatic index of the gas filling the cavities and is 4/3for relativistic gas or 5/3 for non-relativistic, monatomic gas. In the relativisitic case, the total energy input is then 4PV, and in the non-relativistic case it is (5/2)PV. To estimate an average energy input rate, we need the radio source outburst rate. In some cases, this can be measured directly from the Xray data, when there are multiple sets of bubbles. Taking the projected distances between outer cavities and inner cavities and calculating the time to rise buoyantly to the outer position, the time between outbursts can be estimated. The time between outbursts is typically a few tens of millions of years (e.g. Perseus [13]; A262 [22], A2052 [32]). In cases without outer cavities, an approximate value based on other systems is assumed.

Does energy input from bouyantly rising bubbles offset cooling?. We can compare the energy injection rate from AGNinflated cavities that rise buoyantly in clusters to the luminosity of cooling gas in the cluster centers. This cooling luminosity is gvien by

$$L_{cool} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{\dot{M}}{\mu m_p} kT$$
 [2]

where \dot{M} is the mass deposition rate into the cluster center of the cooling gas, T is the upper temperature from which the gas is cooling, and μ is the mean molecular weight with μm_p being the average mass per particle in the gas. In many cases, the energy input rate from the buoyant bubbles is enough to offset the cooling gas (e.g. Abell 2052 [14, 25, 32] with a rate of $\approx 3 \times 10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹ and Hydra A [11, 27, 40] with a rate of $\approx 3 \times 10^{44}$ erg s⁻¹). In some cases, the bubbles did not, at least initially, appear to supply enough energy to the ICM (e.g. Abell 262 [21], where the energy input rate is approximately an order of magnitude too low).

In addition to detailed studies of individual objects, studies of samples of cooling flow clusters with central AGN have been undertaken [41, 42, 43, 44, 45]. Thirty-three systems were included in a sample in [45], and it was found that, on average, 4PV of enthalpy per cavity supplies enough energy to substantially suppress cooling in clusters.

Shocks

Simulations of AGN outbursts in cluster centers show the creation of shocks as well as cavities related to the radio lobes (e.g. [46]). These "cocoon" shocks can heat the cluster gas not only in the direction parallel to the propagation of the radio lobes, but perpendicular to their propagation axis, as well. Therefore, energy can be distributed throughout the cluster center if shocks are present.

Until recently, shocks were not seen associated with AGN radio lobes in cluster cooling flows, but in the last few years, several have been detected. A spectacular example is the cluster MS0735.6+7321 [23] which hosts extremely large cavities with diameters of approximately 200 kpc. The total energy injection required to inflate the cavities and produce the observed shocks is 6×10^{61} erg, making this the most powerful radio outburst known. The shock energy input rate is given by

$$\Pi_s = \frac{(\gamma + 1)P}{12\gamma^2} \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{\delta P}{P}\right)^3$$
 [3]

where P is the pre-shock pressure, $\gamma = 5/3$, and $2\pi/\omega$ is the time interval between shocks [31]. A deep Chandra image of M87/Virgo revealed an approximately spherical shock in the cluster center [24]. The shock is most clearly seen in a hardband image, and is fairly weak with a Mach number of 1.2. A Mach 1.65 shock was found in the Hercules cluster [47], with the total energy deposited 3×10^{61} erg. There is a weak shock (Mach 1.3) outside of one of the bubble rims in the center of the Perseus cluster [48]. As is common, the density jump measured is consistent with a shock model, but a rise in temperature is not measured. Two shocks are seen surrounding the center of Abell 2052 [32], both with Mach 1.2. The temperatures across the shocks are consistent, within the errors, to the jumps expected in the shock models, but the best-fitting temperature values are constant across the fronts. If an increase in temperature is not measured behind a shock, [49] has suggested that shocks may be isothermal if conduction is efficient in these regions. However, the temperature rises are very difficult to detect given the narrow width of the shock fronts, adiabatic expansion, and the difficulty in modeling the large amount of cluster gas at larger radii projected onto these regions when fitting the X-ray spectra. Based on further analysis of the Perseus system, [48] conclude that mixing of post-shock gas with cool gas associated with optical-line emission may result in the lack of temperature rise seen associated with the shock.

Sound waves

In addition to weak shocks in the centers of cooling flow clusters, sound or pressure waves have also been observed. These features are most prominent in the Perseus cluster [49, 50]. They appear as 5-10% increases in pressure in ripple-like patterns surrounding cluster centers. Changes in temperature are not seen in these regions, and it is likely that their energy is dissipated by viscosity. There may be one or more set of ripples corresponding to each AGN outburst depending on variations in energy output that can occur during a single outburst. Assuming they are associated with single outbursts, the ripple separations in Perseus imply a repetition rate of 10⁷ yr [50]. As shocks propagate outward from cluster centers, they weaken and may appear as sound waves. In addition to the Perseus cluster, sound wave ripples are seen in the Centaurus cluster [51] and M87/Virgo [24]. Concentric ripple-like features are also seen surrounding the center of Abell 2052, but current analysis shows that these are consistent with weak shocks [32]

A key difference between shock and sound wave heating is that sound wave dissipation depends on transport coefficients and shock dissipation does not [31]. The sound wave dissipation rate is sensitive to temperature and would be less effective in lower temperature systems. This may be offset by a higher frequency of outbursts in cooler clusters [31]. One of the important implications of the sound waves is that energy can be deposited over 4π steradians, and not only along the narrow angle of the radio jets.

Case studies: Abell 2052 and Abell 262

Abell 2052 is one of the few clusters that showed evidence for radio bubbles using data from an X-ray telescope prior to the Chandra / XMM-Newton era (ROSAT [52]). It was first observed with Chandra for 37 ksec in Cycle 1 in 2000, and subsequently observed for 129 ksec in Cycle 6 in 2006 and 497 ksec in Cycle 10 in 2009. A three-color image from the total 657 ksec cleaned dataset is shown in Fig. 3, where the colors represent different energy ranges (red 0.3 - 1.0 keV, green 1.0-2.0 keV, and blue 2.0-10.0 keV). Clear bubbles are seen to the north and south of the cluster center, and a filament extends into the northern bubble. Surrounding the bubbles are bright shells of emission, and outside of these shells a jump is the surface brightness is visible extending around the cluster center. A second jump is seen outside this one, and it appears sharper to the NE than to the SW. It is possible that this feature is a cold front rather than a shock.

An unsharp-masked image for the total 657 ksec dataset is shown in Fig. 4 in grayscale with 1.4 GHz radio emission from the VLA FIRST survey [53] superposed in green contours. Concentric ripple-like features are seen surrounding the cluster center, and these are modeled as shocks in [32] based on the earlier 163 ksec dataset. These features are at 31 and 46 kpc from the AGN and the density jumps associated with them are both consistent with shocks with Mach number 1.2. The separation of the potential shock features is consistent with a radio source outburst rate of 2×10^7 yr. This same cycle time is found when considering the locations of outer cavities in the system. A small cavity appears bounded by a narrow filament to the NW of the N bubble, and the S cavity appears split into two cavities, with the outer one to the SE. Assuming the outer cavities rose buoyantly to their current positions, we find a cycle time of $2-4\times10^7$ yr depending on whether they rose at 0.5 times or 1 time the sound speed.

In [32], we found a mass deposition rate of $\dot{M}=55\pm4M_{\odot}$ yr⁻¹ and a cooling luminosity of $L_{cool}=5.4\times10^{43}~{\rm erg~s^{-1}}$. We find the rate of energy input from the buoyantly rising bubbles

assuming they are filled with relativistic plasma ($\gamma = 4/3$) to be $3-6\times10^{43}~{\rm erg~s^{-1}}$ depending on the buoyancy rise time. The input from shock heating is only 1×10^{43} erg s⁻¹, however, the combination of heating from shocks and buoyantly rising bubbles can offset the cooling in this system.

Abell 262 was first observed by *Chandra* in Cycle 2 in 2001 for 30 ksec. It was subsequently observed in Cycle 8 in 2006 for 112 ksec. A mass deposition rate of $\dot{M}=19^{+6}_{-5}M_{\odot}~{\rm yr}^{-1}$ and a cooling luminosity of $L_{cool} = 1.3 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ were}$ found in [21]. Based on the one clear bubble seen in the earlier dataset, it was found that the radio source energy input fell more than an order of magnitude short of offsetting the cooling [21], and it was concluded that the AGN may have had a more powerful outburst in the past so that cooling could be offset on average. In this study, a repetition rate of 1×10^8 yr was assumed for the AGN outbursts.

Analyzing the longer dataset, however, we found in [22] that the radio source can offset the cooling. This analysis was based on both a deep *Chandra* observation and low-frequency radio observations. Several more bubbles were found, and a tunnel made up of multiple bubbles rising with short time intervals was found to the W of the cluster center. Using the multiple sets of bubbles, the radio source comes within a factor of two of quenching the cooling. Chandra X-ray residual images superposed with radio emission at 1440 and 610 MHz are shown in Fig. 5. It may well be that further analysis of deeper multi-frequency observations of objects in samples such as [45] that seem to have insufficient energy input rates would reveal that the AGN are indeed powerful enough to offset cooling.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We are very grateful to our many collaborators. We thank the organizers for arranging a wonderful meeting highlighting the results from Chandra over the last ten years. ELB was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through Chandra award GO9-0147X. CLS was supported in part by Chandra award GO9-0035X.

- 1. Croton D J et al. (2006) The many lives of active galactic nuclei: cooling flows, black holes and the luminosities and colours of galaxies. MNRAS 365:11-28
- 2. Allen S W, Schmidt R W, Ebeling H, Fabian A C, & van Speybroeck L (2004) Constraints on dark energy from Chandra observations of the largest relaxed galaxy clusters. MNRAS 353:457-467.
- 3. Fabian A C (1994) Cooling Flows in Clusters of Galaxies, ARA&A 32:277-318.
- 4. White D A. Jones C. & Forman W (1997) An investigation of cooling flows and general cluster properties from an X-ray image deprojection analysis of 207 clusters of galaxies. MNRAS 292:419-467.
- 5. Peres C B et al. (1998) A ROSAT study of the cores of clusters of galaxies I. Cooling flows in an X-ray flux-limited sample. MNRAS, 298:416-432.
- 6. White D A (2000) Deconvolution of ASCA X-ray data II. Radial temperature and metallicity profiles for 106 galaxy clusters. MNRAS 312:663-688.
- 7. Peterson J R et al. (2001) X-ray imaging-spectroscopy of Abell 1835. A&A, 365:L104-
- 8. Peterson J R et al. (2003) High-Resolution X-ray Spectroscopic Constraints on Coolig-Flow Models for Clusters of Galaxies. ApJ 590:207-224.
- 9. Burns J O (1990) The radio properties of cD galaxies in Abell clusters. I an X-ray selected sample. AJ 99:14-30
- 10. Mittal R, Hudson D S, Reiprich T H, & Clarke T (2009) AGN heating and ICM cooling in the HIFLUGCS sample of galaxy clusters. A&A 501:835-850.
- 11. McNamara B R et al. (2000) Chandra X-ray Observations of the Hydra A Cluster: An Interaction between the Radio Source and the X-ray-emitting Gas. ApJ 534:L135-L138.
- 12. Wise M W, McNamara B R, Nulsen P E J, Houck J C, & David L P (2007) X-ray Supercavities in the Hydra A Cluster and the Outburst History of the Central Galaxy's Active Nucleus. ApJ 659:1153-1158.
- 13. Fabian A C et al. (2000) Chandra imaging of the complex core of the Perseus cluster. MNRAS. 318:L65-L68.
- 14. Blanton E L, Sarazin C L, McNamara B R, & Wise M W (2001) Chandra Observation of the Radio Source/X-ray Gas Interaction in the Cooling Flow Cluster Abell 2052. ApJ 558:L15-L18.
- 15. McNamara B R et al. (2001) Discovery of Ghost Cavities in the X-ray Atmosphere of Abell 2597. ApJ 562:L149-L152.
- 16. Clarke T E, Sarazin C L, Blanton E L, Neumann D M, & Kassim N E (2005) Low Frequency Radio Observations of X-ray Ghost Bubbles in A2597: A History of Radio Activity in the Core. ApJ 625:748-753.
- 17. Johnstone R M, Allen S W, Fabian A C, & Sanders J S (2002) Chandra observations of Abell 2199. MNRAS 336:299-308.
- 18. Fabian A C, Sanders J S, Taylor G B, & Allen S W (2005) A deep Chandra observation of the Centaurus cluster: bubbles, filaments, and edges. MNRAS 360:L20-L24.
- 19. Heinz S, Choi Y-Y, Reynolds C S, & Begelman M C (2002) Chandra ACIS-S Observations of Abell 4059: Signs of a Dramatic Interaction between a Radio Galaxy and a Galaxy Cluster, ApJ 569:L79-L82.
- 20. Choi Y-Y et al. (2004) Observations of A4059 with Chandra, Hubble Space Telescope, and the Very Large Array: Unraveling a Complex Cluster/Radio Galaxy Interaction. ApJ 606:185-195.
- 21. Blanton E L, Sarazin C L, McNamara B R, & Clarke T E (2004) Chandra Observation of the Central Region of the Cooling Flow Cluster A262: A Radio Source That is a Shadow of its Former Self? ApJ 612:817-824.
- 22. Clarke T E et al. (2009) Tracing Multiple Generations of Active Galactic Nucleus Feedback in the Core of Abell 262. ApJ 697:1481-1492.
- 23. McNamara B R et al. (2005) The heating of gas in a galaxy cluster by X-ray cavities and large-scale shock fronts. Nature 7021:45-47.
- 24. Forman W et al. (2007) Filaments, Bubbles, and Weak Shocks in the Gaseous Atmosphere of M87. ApJ 665:1057-1066.
- 25. Blanton E L, Sarazin C L, & McNamara B R (2003) Chandra Observation of the Cooling Flow Cluster Abell 2052. ApJ 585:227-243.

- 26. Heinz S, Reynolds C S, & Begelman M C (1998) X-ray Signatures of Evolving Radio Galaxies. ApJ 501:126-136
- 27. Nulsen P E J, et al. (2002) Interaction of Radio Lobes with the Hot Intracluster Medium: Driving Convective Outflow in Hydra A. ApJ 568:163-173.
- 28. Schmidt, R. W., Fabian, A. C., & Sanders, J. S. (2002), Chandra temperature and metallicity maps of the Perseus cluster core, MNRAS 337:71-78.
- 29. Fabian A C et al. (2003) The relationship between the optical $H\alpha$ filaments and the X-ray emission in the core of the Perseus cluster. MNRAS 344:L48-L52.
- 30. McNamara B R (1997) Optical Properties of Cooling Flow Central Cluster Ellipticals. ASP Conference Series, ed. Noam Soker 115:109-120.
- 31. McNamara B R & Nulsen P E J (2007) Heating Hot Atmospheres with Active Galactic Nuclei. ARA&A 45:117-175.
- 32. Blanton E L et al. (2009) Shocks and Bubbles in a Deep Chandra Observation of the Cooling Flow Cluster Abell 2052. ApJ 697:L95-L98.
- 33. Lyutikov M (2006) Magnetic draping of merging cores and radio bubbles in clusters of galaxies. MNRAS 373:73-78.
- 34. Pizzolato F & Soker N (2006) On the Rayleigh-Taylor instability of radio bubbles in galaxy clusters. MNRAS 371:1835-1848.
- 35. Forman W et al. (2005) Reflections of Active Galactic Nucleus Outbursts in the Gaseous Atmosphere of M87. ApJ 635:894-906.
- 36. Young A J, Wilson A S, & Mundell C G (2002) Chandra Imaging of the X-ray Core of the Virgo Cluster. ApJ 579:560-570.
- 37. Fujita Y et al. (2002) Chandra Observations of the Disruption of the Cool Core in A133. ApJ 575:764-778.
- 38. Kirkpatrick C C, et al. (2009) Direct Evidence for Outflow of Metal-enriched Gas Along the Radio Jets of Hydra A. ApJ 707:L69-L72.
- 39. Churazov E, Sunyaev R, Forman W & Böhringer H. (2002) Cooling flows as a calorimeter of active galactic nucleus mechanical power. MNRAS 332:729-734.
- 40. David L P, et al. (2001) A High-Resolution Study of the Hydra A Cluster with Chandra: Comparison of the Core Mass Distribution with Theoretical Predictions and Evidence for Feedback in the Cooling Flow. ApJ 557:546-559.
- 41. Bîrzan L, Rafferty D A, McNamara B R, Wise M W, & Nulsen P E J. (2004) A Systematic Study of Radio-induced X-ray Cavities in Clusters, Groups, and Galaxies. ApJ
- 42. Bîrzan L, McNamara B R, Nulsen P E J, Carilli C L, & Wise M W (2008) Radiative Efficiency and Content of Extragalactic Radio Sources: Toward a Universal Scaling Relation between Jet Power and Radio Power. ApJ 686:859-880.
- 43. Diehl S, Li H, Fryer C L, & Rafferty D (2008) Constraining the Nature of X-ray Cavities in Clusters and Galaxies. ApJ 687:173-192.
- 44. Dunn R J H & Fabian A C (2006) Investigating AGN heating in a sample of nearby clusters. MNRAS 373:959-971.
- 45. Rafferty D A, McNamara B R, Nulsen P E J, & Wise M W (2006) The Feedbackregulated Growth of Black Holes and Bulges through Gas Accretion and Starbursts in Cluster Central Dominant Galaxies. ApJ 652:216-231.
- 46. Heinz S, Brüggen M, Young A, & Levesque E (2006) The answer is blowing in the wind: simulating the interaction of jets with dynamic cluster atmospheres. MNRAS 373:L65-L69.
- 47. Nulsen P E J, McNamara B R, Wise M W, & David L P (2005) The Cluster-Scale AGN Outburst in Hydra A. ApJ 628:629-636.
- 48. Graham J, Fabian A C, & Sanders J S (2008) The weak shock in the core of the Perseus cluster. MNRAS 386:278-288.
- 49. Fabian A C et al. (2006) A very deep Chandra observation of the Perseus cluster: shocks, ripples, and conduction, MNRAS, 366:417-428.
- 50. Fabian A C et al. (2003) A deep Chandra observation of the Perseus cluster: shocks and ripples, MNRAS 344:L43-L47.
- 51. Sanders J S & Fabian A C (2008) Sound waves in the intracluster medium of the Centaurus cluster. MNRAS 390:L93-L97.

52. Rizza E et al. (2000) X-ray and Radio Interactions in the Cores of Cooling Flow Clusters. AJ 119:21-31.

 Becker R H, White R L, & Helfand D J (1995) The FIRST Survey: Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty Centimeters. ApJ 450:559-577.

PNAS | Issue Date | Volume | Issue Number | 5











